



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,370	04/26/2001	Lujun Chen	S01.12-0730/STL9852	9242

7590 09/14/2004

Brian D. Kaul  
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY  
International Centre-Suite 1600  
900 South Second Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

MILLER, BRIAN E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2652

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/843,370	CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian E. Miller	2652	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** AE

 (1) Brian E. Miller.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Brian Kaul.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*103 in view of Mao et al*
**Claims discussed:**
*none specifically*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*US 6,619,647*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant argued in the After Final response that the Mao et al reference is not prior art under the guidelines with respect to the same assignee and 103( c) under applications filed on or after November 29, 1999. The Examiner has ordered the provisional application of the instant application, i.e., 60/233,815, to review it to see if the guidelines have been met. After review, an appropriate Office Action will follow..